Isotropic 3D Imaging Over Large Volumes with Axially Swept Light-Sheet Microscopy
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Fluorescence microscopy, because of its high spatiotemporal resolution (Ahrens et al., 2013), single-molecule sensitivity (Van Engelenburg et al., 2014), and unparalleled labeling specificity (Dean & Palmer, 2014), continues to deliver invaluable insight into the fundamental processes that govern living cells in both health and disease. To maximize spatial resolution and detection sensitivity, fluorescence microscopy is traditionally performed with glass coverslips and high-numerical aperture oil immersion objectives.

However, cells are influenced by the molecular composition, mechanical properties, and dimensionality of their environment (Friedl & Wolf, 2009), all of which strongly deviate for optical coverslips from those experienced by cells in vivo (Chittajallu et al., 2015). Given these concerns, many in the biological community are moving away from two-dimensional substrates, and instead are now studying biomedically important phenomena within physiological three-dimensional microenvironments (Rubashkin et al., 2014). In particular, many biologists are adopting reconstituted extracellular matrices (Jones et al., 2015) and synthetic scaffolds (Baker et al., 2015), which are more cost-effective, offer greater sample throughput, and are more experimentally tractable than intravital animal imaging.
out-of-focus blur accumulates and sample-induced scattering and optical aberrations are unavoidable.

Confocal microscopes operate by imaging the laser focus, and thus the fluorescence arising from it, to a pinhole in the detection path. Fluorescence is measured with a single element photomultiplier tube or an avalanche photodiode (Webb, 1996). Fluorescence outside of the laser focus is predominantly rejected by the pinhole, providing true 3D imaging and optical sectioning in the absence of numerical post-processing. Given that a single-element detector is used, an image is acquired by serially scanning the laser focus through the sample, or the sample through the laser focus, which slows down the rate of image acquisition. When combined with multiphoton excitation, which offers improved optical penetration, confocal microscopy can be performed in the biologically realistic context of a living tissue or animal (Li et al., 2015). However, for any given pixel, the dwell-time of the laser is on the order of a few microseconds, necessitating kW/cm² and GW/cm² laser intensities for 1- and 2-photon imaging, respectively, that trigger photobleaching and phototoxicity in biological specimens. Decreases in laser intensities can be obtained by parallelizing the illumination with a Nipkow disk, but pinhole cross-talk degrades optical sectioning. Furthermore, whether performed in serial or parallel, pinhole-based detection decreases the photon collection efficiency of an imaging system.

Importantly, in confocal and widefield microscopes, both the in-focus and out-of-focus regions of the cell are both illuminated and fluorescence throughout the sample is inevitably excited. Thus, given the finite number of photons that can be emitted prior to irreversible photobleaching of the fluorophore (Dean et al., 2011), many photons that do not productively contribute to image formation are wasted. To mitigate this, a third technique, referred to as Selective Plane Illumination Microscopy (SPIM), illuminates the sample with a sheet of light, and fluorescence is imaged in an orthogonal direction on a scientific camera (Huisken et al., 2004). If the illumination beam is sufficiently narrow, only fluorophores located within the depth-of-focus of the detection objective are excited and subsequently detected. As such, out-of-focus illumination is eliminated, all detection objective are excited and subsequently detected (Chhetri et al., 2015). In a SPIM format, 3D volumes are rapidly acquired by scanning the thin sheet of light concurrently with the detection objective (Dean & Fiolka, 2014), or the focal plane of the detection objective (Fahrbach et al., 2013).

One challenge in SPIM is the inverse relationship between image field-of-view and axial resolution, as determined by the illumination beam profile (typically Gaussian) in its propagation direction (~2 Rayleigh lengths) and beam diameter, respectively. Thus to illuminate a large field of view, a beam with a large beam waist has to be used, which results in poor axial resolution. Given this constraint, SPIM has found the greatest use in imaging applications that require moderate axial resolution (~2-4 microns, including whole animal imaging of developing embryos (Keller, 2013). However, much greater resolution is necessary for sub-cellular imaging, and many labs have adopted propagation invariant beams (e.g., Bessel, Airy) in an effort to try to maintain high-resolution imaging throughout larger field of views (Chen et al., 2014, Gao et al., 2012, Planchon et al., 2011, Vettenburg et al., 2014, Fahrbach & Rohrbach, 2012). Nevertheless, in each case, out-of-focus illumination increases with the size of the field of view; generating image blur that requires deconvolution or multiview image fusion to restore optical sectioning (Wu et al., 2013, Chen et al., 2014, Gao et al., 2012, Planchon et al., 2011). Furthermore, many of these techniques were designed specifically to image thin adherent cells on optical coverslips (Chen et al., 2014, Gao et al., 2012, Planchon et al., 2011). Consequently, no SPIM technique existed that could image cells with isotropic and diffraction-limited resolution throughout large (>100 μm³) three-dimensional microenvironments.

With these caveats in mind, we developed a SPIM imaging technique, referred to as Axially Swept Light-Sheet Microscopy (ASLM), that enables high-resolution isotropic imaging of cellular processes within large and experimentally tractable 3D microenvironments (Dean et al., 2015). ASLM uses aberration-free remote focusing (Botcherby et al., 2012) to scan a laser line focus (i.e., a narrow sheet of light) in its propagation direction simultaneously with a rolling active-pixel array on a scientific CMOS camera (Baumgart & Kubitscheck, 2012). Thereby the camera acquires at any scan position only the waist of the light-sheet, i.e., the portion that yields the sharpest image, and an image with an extended field of view is captured in a single scan. As a result of this unique mechanism, ASLM is capable of simultaneous multicolor imaging of large fields of view (>100 μm³) with isotropic sub-400 nm resolution and superior optical sectioning strength (Figure 1). For example, ASLM has greater...
Fig 3: Collagen-embedded retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) expressing green fluorescent protein fused to actin. (Top) Maximum intensity projection in XY (153x83x100 μm) of multiple RPE cells. (Bottom) Montage of images acquired at different times showing dynamic formation of lamellipodia-like structures in RPE cells. Scale bar 10 μm.

Fig 4: A431 cells (retinal pigment epithelial cells from another source) labeled with clathrin light chain alpha (CLCa) green fluorescent protein (shown in green) and MitoTracker orange (shown in magenta). CLCa marks sites of clathrin-mediated endocytosis and internal clathrin-positive structures (e.g., the Golgi apparatus). MitoTracker is a cell-permeable fluorophore that labels mitochondria. Both a lateral and an axial view of the volume are shown. Scale bar 10 μm.
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